1	Harold Lichten, pro hac vice	
2	(hlichten@llrlaw.com)	
_	SHANNON LISS-RIORDAN (SBN: 310719)	
3	(sliss@llrlaw.com)	
	Olena Savytska, pro hac vice	
4	(osavytska@llrlaw.com)	
ا ہ	LICHTEN & LISS-RIORDAN, P.C.	
5	729 Boylston Street, Suite 2000	
6	Boston, MA 02116	
	(617) 994-5800	
7		
	Shanon J. Carson, pro hac vice	
8	(scarson@bm.net)	
9	Eric Lechtzin (State Bar No. 248958)	
	(elechtzin@bm.net)	
10	Sarah R. Schalman-Bergen, pro hac vice	
.	(sschalman-bergen@bm.net)	
1	BERGER & MONTAGUE, P.C.	
12	1622 Locust Street	
	Philadelphia, PA 19103	
13	(215) 875-3000	
4		
'	Counsel for Plaintiff and the Class	
15		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17		G N 0.17 01060
	BARINDER GILL, individually and on behalf	Case No. 3:17-cv-01062
18	of all others similarly situated,	IDDODOGEDI ODDED CD AMEINO
19	DI : «'CC	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
19	Plaintiff,	FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
20		SETTLEMENT
	V.	
21	214° CODDOD ATION	
22	314e CORPORATION,	
	Defendant.	
23	Defendant.	
24	The Court has before it the Joint Motion for Final Approval of a Class Action Settlemen	
25		
	("Class Settlement") filed by Plaintiff Barinder Gill ("Plaintiff") and Defendant 314	
26	Corporation ("Defendant"), which was heard on July 12, 2018. After reviewing the Motion fo	
27	Corporation (Defendant), which was heard or	1 July 12, 2018. After reviewing the Motion for
٠'		1
28	PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT	
	That adds are strained in the first of the strain and the strain a	

Final Approval and the Settlement Agreement ("Class Settlement"), the Court hereby finds and orders as follows:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Settlement Class Members asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the action.
- 2. The Court finds that one Class Member, Thomas Collins, has objected to the Class Settlement (ECF # 66) and two Settlement Class Members (representing approximately 1.25% of the Settlement Class) have requested exclusion from the Class Settlement. The Court has considered Mr. Collins' objection and finds that it lacks merits, and therefore, it is overruled.
 - 3. This Court approves the proposed *cy pres* recipient, Bay Area Legal Aid.
- 4. This Court finds that the applicable requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 have been satisfied with respect to the Settlement Class and the proposed Class Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier certification of the proposed Settlement Class.
- 5. The notice given to the Settlement Class Members fully and accurately informed the Settlement Class Members of all material elements of the proposed Class Settlement and of their opportunity to object to or comment thereon; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable laws. The Settlement Agreement is incorporated by reference into this Order and is hereby adopted as an Order of this Court.
- 6. The notice fairly and adequately described the Class Settlement and provided Settlement Class Members adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in this hearing, and all Settlement Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

heard. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Settlement Class Members (as defined in the Class Settlement) who did not timely and properly execute a request for exclusion are bound by this Order and Judgment.

- 7. The Court hereby finds the Class Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class as a whole. The Court hereby grants final approval to the Class Settlement.
- 8. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Class Settlement be implemented in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.
- 9. It is hereby ordered that Defendant shall pay the Settlement Awards in the amounts and pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. It is hereby ordered that the that Defendant shall pay the Incentive Awards of \$2,500 to Plaintiff Barinder Gill and \$1,000 to opt-in plaintiff Christopher Walker, because the Court finds these Incentive Awards are fair and reasonable for the work they provided to the Class and Class Counsel.
- 11. With this final approval of the Class Settlement, it is hereby ordered that all claims that are released as set forth in the Settlement Agreement are hereby barred.
- 12. The Court shall retain exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over this matter for the purposes of supervising the implementation, enforcement, construction, administration, and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 21, 2018

JAMES ONATO

United states District Judge